

| FY 2003 EQIP EVALUATION WATER QUALITY DEGREDDATION - GRAZING LAND | | |
|---|----------------------|---------------------|
| County: | Date: | |
| Name: | Tract #: | |
| HU Code: | Farm #: | |
| Cost-Share/Incentive Amount: | Environmental Score: | |
| Completed By: | Checked By: | |
| Note: Only one point choice for each criteria. Points are not to be added up within each criteria. | | |
| LOCATION CRITERIA | | Points Given |
| TMDL Watersehd Implementation Priority (use supplied DEQ TMDL List) | Max. 30 Pts. | |
| Watershed has completed TMDL Implementation Plan (IP) | 30 | |
| Watershed TMDL plan is scheduled to be completed by 2004 | 20 | |
| Watershed TMDL plan is scheduled to be completed after 2004 | 10 | |
| Watershed is not scheduled for a TMDL Implementation Plan (NA) | 0 | |
| Rank of Hydrologic Unit from DCR NPS assessmentg for Agriculture (AG) Nitrogen, Phosphorus, Sediment (Use supplied Nonpoint Source Assessment and Priorition Ranking TableA-2) | Max. 20Pts. | |
| Site is within a Hydrologic Unit that is ranked High for all of the following Agriculture loadings: Agriculture_Sediment (AG_S), Agriculture_Nitrogen (AG_N), and Agriculture_ Phosphorus (AG_P) | 20 | |
| Site is within a Hydrologic Unit that is ranked High for one of the following Agriculture Loadings: Agriculture_Sediment (AG_S), or Agriculture_Nitrogen (AG_N), or Agriculture_Phosphorus (AG_P) | 15 | |
| Site is within a Hydrologic Unit that is ranked Medium for one of the following Agriculture Loading: Agriculture_Sediment (AG_S), or Agriculture_Nitrogen (AG_N), or Agriculture_Phosphorus (AG_P) | 10 | |
| Site is ranked low for Agriculture Sediment, Nitrogen, and Phosphorus | 0 | |
| Cost Shared Practices will reduce erosion to "T" within 300 ft of a stream containing a listed state or federal aquatic species, or livestock will be excluded from the stream containing a listed state or federal species. A block indicating a listed aquatic species must be no more than 1/4 mile downstream of treated area. (Use VDGIF Maps only) List Cost-Shared Practices for this category: | Max. 10 Pts. | |
| Yes | 10 | |
| No | 0 | |
| SITE SPECIFIC INFORMATION | | |
| Is the site being considered for accelerated treatment to comply with any state or local regulation, or law such as The Agricultural Stewardship Act? | Max. 5 Pts. | |
| Yes | 5 | |
| No | 0 | |
| Existing soil loss rate on the proposed treatment area Note: Use weighted average for three worst fields- show calculations on worksheet and attach to this form. | Max. 25 Pts. | |
| Above "T" | 25 | |
| At or below "T" | 0 | |
| Livestock will be excluded from woodland adjacent to treated area(s) as a result of EQIP contract. (must be in CPO/Contract with appropriate practices) | Max. 10 Pts. | |
| Yes | 10 | |
| No | 0 | |
| Weighted Erodibility Index (EI) for proposed treatment area Note: Use weighted average of three predominant soils- Show calculations on worksheet and attach to this form. | Max. 30 Pts. | |
| EI >15 | 30 | |
| EI 8 to 15 | 15 | |
| EI <8 | 0 | |
| SCORE PAGE 1 | | |

| FY 2003 EQIP EVALUATION | | |
|--|--------------|--------------|
| WATER QUALITY DEGREDDATION - GRAZING LAND | | |
| | | Points Given |
| Planned livestock access to surface water or active sink holes (practices addressing issue must be part of CPO/Contract) Exclusion practice(s) must be cost-shared with EQIP funds to award points. | Max. 75 Pts. | |
| Excluded from 100% of streams, ponds, wetlands, and active sink holes | 75 | |
| Excluded from 75% - 99% of streams, ponds, wetlands, and active sink holes | 50 | |
| Excluded from 50% - 74% of streams, ponds, wetlands, and active sinkholes | 25 | |
| Excluded from less than 50% of streams, ponds, wtlands, and active sinkholes | 0 | |
| Distance of closest treatment area to a blue line stream, water body, or active sink hole. (Treatment Area = EQIP cost-shared practices or EQIP incentive payments applied) | Max. 50 Pts. | |
| <100 Feet from surface water | 50 | |
| 100 to 300 Feet from surface water | 40 | |
| 300 to 500 Feet to surface water | 20 | |
| >500 Feet to surface water | 0 | |
| Buffer Zone to be installed along riparian areas as part of EQIP contract. EQIP funds must be used for buffer zone establishment to award points. | Max. 50 Pts. | |
| Buffer width > 100 Feet | 50 | |
| Buffer width minimum 100 Feet | 40 | |
| Buffer width minimum 50 Feet | 30 | |
| Buffer width minimum 35 Feet | 20 | |
| Buffer width minimum 25 Feet | 10 | |
| Buffer less than 25 Feet | 0 | |
| Will a Riparian Forested Buffer be established using hardwood trees. EQIP cost-share funds must be used to establish hardwood trees (612). | Max. 25 Pts. | |
| Yes | 25 | |
| No | 0 | |
| Wildlife habitat will be enhanced by establishing practices according to EQIP Wildlife Appendix. EQIP funds must be used to award points | Max. 20 Pts. | |
| One or more wildlife practices will be established on at least 10% of the treated area and according to EQIP Wildlife Appendix (Must be on or immediately adjacent to EQIP treated acres) See Wildlife Appendix for details | 20 | |
| One or more wildlife practices will be established on at least 5% of the treated area and according to EQIP Wildlife Appendix (Must be on or immediately adjacent to EQIP treated acres) See Wildlife Appendix for details | 10 | |
| Less than 5% will be treated to enhance wildlife habitat or does not meet EQIP Wildlife Appendix standards | 0 | |
| Will a conservation system protect or enhance wetlands on or near the site (Practices must be part of CPO/Contract and location of wetlands shown on the plan map) EQIP cost-shared practice(s) must be used to protect or enhance wetlands in order to award points. List cost-shared practices which protect/enhance wetlands: | Max. 25 Pts. | |
| Yes | 25 | |
| No | 0 | |
| An RMS level of treatment will be planned and ahieved on all grazing land for the tract or tracts under an EQIP contract. | Max. 50 Pts. | |
| Yes | 50 | |
| No | 0 | |
| SCORE PAGE 2 | | |
| SCORE FROM PAGE 1 | | |
| TOTAL ENVIRONMENTAL SCORE (425 Points Maximum Score) | | |